#### Page 1 of 5

# ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal (Chairman)

& The Hon'ble Mr. Subesh Kumar Das (Administrative Member)

#### Case No -<u>OA 178 OF 2018</u>

#### Smt. Krishnamaya Thapa <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
2	For the Applicant : Mr. Sukanta Das Miss Lopamudra Moitra	
19.11.2018	Advocate	
	For the Respondents: Mr. G.P. Banerjee Mrs. S. Mitra Advocate	
	Though the matter has appeared in the list under	
	the heading "To Be Mentioned", by consent of Mr.	
	Sukanta Das, learned advocate, appearing along with Miss	
	Lopamudra Moitra, learned advocate for the applicant and	
	Mr. G.P. Banerjee, learned advocate appearing along with	
	Mrs. S. Mitra, learned advocate for the respondents, the	
	matter is taken up for "Hearing".	
	In this application, the applicant has prayed for	
	the following reliefs :	
	"(i) to direct the respondent $1/2^{rd}$ shows	
	authorities to disburse and pay the $1/3^{rd}$ share	
	of the death benefits of deceased employee $D^{-1}$	
	Bishnu @ Bisu Kumar Thapa in favour of the	
	applicant immediately.	
	(ii) Such other order or orders,	
	direction or directions as to Your Lordships	

Serial No. and			
Date of order.			
1			

Order of the Tribunal with signature 2

Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

may seem fit and proper."

It appears the applicant is the mother of the deceased employee who was a Constable in the Police Department. As evident from the application, Vishnu Kumar Thapa@ Bisu Kumar Thapa expired on 21<sup>st</sup> May, 2006 leaving behind his wife Shrimati Nina Thapa, son Aniruddhu Thapa and mother, that is the applicant herein as heirs and legal representatives. The applicant filed a civil suit and other Suit No. 211 of 2006 before the Civil Judge (Junior Division), 2<sup>nd</sup> Court, Paschim Medinipur against the respondents which included the wife and son of the deceased employee and the prayer portion is as under :

"(a) That a decree may be passed declaring plaintiffs 1/3<sup>rd</sup> share in the death benefits (settlement dues) as described in the (A) schedule on account of death of her son Bishu Kumar Thapa.

(b) That the defendant No. 2 & 3 may be restrained from collecting the plaintiff's  $1/3^{rd}$  share or to releasing  $1/3^{rd}$  share to the defendant No. 2 in respect of (A) schedule death benefits (settlement dues).

(c) That any other relief for which the plaintiff is entitled for may be passed."

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary
1		3
	After contested hearing, on July, 31, 2013 the	
	Learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), 2 <sup>nd</sup> Court, Paschim	
	Medinipore dismissed the said suit. Being aggrieved the	
	applicant filed other Appeal No. 96 of 2013 before the	
	Learned District Judge, Paschim Medinipore. After	
	hearing the parties the learned Additional District Judge-	
	cum-Special Judge (under E.C. Act), Paschim Medinipur	
	allowed the appeal on 21 <sup>st</sup> July, 2015 by passing the	
	following order :	
	"It is declared that the	
	plaintiff has 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> share in the death benefits	
	(settlement dues) as described in the 'A'	
	schedule of the plaint of the deceased Bishnu	
	Kumar Thapa and the respondent no.3 and 4	
	are restrained from releasing that 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> share	
	to anybody else excepting the appellant /	
	plaintiff"	
	The applicant had also filed an application for a	
	certificate under part X of the Indian Succession Act 1925	
	in respect of the debts and securities of the deceased	
	Bishnu @ Bisu Kumar Thapa before the District Delegate,	
	Paschim Medinipur and the same was registered as	
	Succession Certificate Case No. 94 / 2013. By an order	
	dated 3rd March, 2014, the learned District Delegate,	
	Paschim Medinipur was pleased to allow the said	
	Succession Certificate case by passing the following	

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	order: -	
	"That the Succession	
	Certificate in respect of the debts	
	Securities of the deceased Bishnu @	
	Bisu Kumar Thapa is hereby granted in	
	favour of petitioner Krishnamaya	
	Thapa subject to filling requisite Court	
	fees."	
	According to the applicant though thereafter	
	several requests were made to the respondent authorities	
	to release the death benefits in favour of the applicant as	
	per the orders of the competent court, the respondent no.2	
	by a letter dated 2 <sup>nd</sup> December, 2016 informed the learned	
	advocate of the applicant that the matter is under process	
	and payment would be made as soon as possible.	
	According to the applicant, in spite of the order passed by	
	the Appellate Court as well as the Learned District	
	Delegate, no payment has been made in her favour.	
	It has been stated in the application that the	
	respondents by letter dated 23 <sup>rd</sup> October, 2017 had pointed	
	out that since there is a discrepancy in the order passed by	
	the Additional District Judge (Special Judge), Paschim	
	Medinipur with regard to the name of the deceased	
	employee, the money could not be disbursed. It is	
	submitted by Miss Lopamudra Moitra, learned advocate	

	Office estimate the last
	Office action with date and dated signature
2	of parties when necessary
	3
for the applicant that owing to the non-disbursement of the	
benefits the applicant is in distress.	
Mr. G.P. Baneriee, learned advocate on behalf of	
already been complied with, the money shall be disbursed	
at the earliest.	
In view of the submission made by Mr. Banerjee	
this application is disposed of by granting liberty to the	
applicant to file appropriate application for rectification of	
the name of the deceased, if so advised and if	
consequently necessary orders are passed by the Court, the	
respondent nos. 1 and 2 shall accordingly disburse the	
amount within four weeks on compliance of formalities, if	
any.	
(S.K. Das) (Soumitra Pal)	
MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	
	benefits the applicant is in distress. Mr. G.P. Banerjee, learned advocate on behalf of the respondents submits that if the order as evident from the memo dated 23 <sup>rd</sup> October, 2017, appearing at page 39 of the application, is rectified, as all formalities have already been complied with, the money shall be disbursed at the earliest. In view of the submission made by Mr. Banerjee this application is disposed of by granting liberty to the applicant to file appropriate application for rectification of the name of the deceased, if so advised and if consequently necessary orders are passed by the Court, the respondent nos. 1 and 2 shall accordingly disburse the amount within four weeks on compliance of formalities, if any. (S.K. Das) (Soumitra Pal)